

November 16th, 2017

Washington State Office of the Attorney General
1125 Washington St SE
P.O. Box 40100
Olympia, WA 98504

To Whom It May Concern:

Regarding Mr. Glen Morgan's October 26th complaint against Mukilteo for All, we provided the following in response:

1) Pledges

Mr. Morgan's suppositions are incorrect. We are a grassroots group of ordinary Mukilteo citizens who believe that Mukilteo should be for everyone. We do not have any secret donors.

2) In-kind contributions

Use of the post office box is not a contribution. Its incidental cost is included in the fee agreed upon with our treasurer.

3) Top five contributors

Mr. Morgan's reading of the law is incorrect. The section referenced by Mr. Morgan (RCW 42.17A.320(2)(b)): "If the sponsor is a political committee, the statement: 'Top Five Contributors,' followed by a listing of the names of the five persons or entities making the largest contributions **in excess of seven hundred dollars** reportable under this chapter during the twelve-month period before the date of the advertisement or communication."

No donors had given more than \$700 in the 12-month period before the communications were released, but our website has been updated continuously to include an accurate list of the top five contributors according to the law.

4) Committee officers

Members of the steering committee guide and advise, but all final decisions, strategic or otherwise, are made by those listed on our registration forms.

5) False statements

We believe this has been sufficiently addressed in our response to a previous complaint:

"Mr. Morgan is being disingenuous. All our statements are easily substantiated:

Aerospace firm Electroimpact agrees to pay \$485K after AG finds 'shocking' discrimination against Muslims

<https://www.seattletimes.com/seattle-news/times-watchdog/aerospace-co-electroimpact-agrees-to-pay-485000-after-ag-finds-shocking-discrimination-against-muslims/>

Electroimpact president to apologize for anti-mosque efforts

<http://www.heraldnet.com/news/electroimpact-president-to-apologize-for-anti-mosque-efforts/>"

6) Independent expenditure reporting

Mr. Morgan is reading the law selectively. In section (1) of the law cited by him (RCW 42.17A.255): "For the purposes of this section the term 'independent expenditure' means any expenditure that is made in support of or in opposition to any candidate or ballot proposition and is

not otherwise required to be reported pursuant to RCW 42.17A.220, 42.17A.235, and 42.17A.240.”

A C-6 report was not required for this expenditure, because it was reported in our C-4 reports.

We appreciate the opportunity to address these false allegations and are happy to provide any additional information you may need.

Sincerely,

Mukilteo for All