

STATE OF WASHINGTON PUBLIC DISCLOSURE COMMISSION

711 Capitol Way Rm. 206, PO Box 40908 • Olympia, Washington 98504-0908 • (360) 753-1111 • FAX (360) 753-1112

Toll Free 1-877-601-2828 • E-mail: pdc@pdc.wa.gov • Website: www.pdc.wa.gov

November 3, 2016

The Honorable Robert Ferguson Attorney General 1125 Washington St SE PO Box 40100 Olympia, WA 98504-0100

RE:

Public Disclosure Commission Recommendation Concerning Citizen Action Complaint against Councilmembers of the City of Olympia, PDC Case 8341

Dear Attorney General Ferguson:

This letter concerns the matter that your office referred to the Public Disclosure Commission (PDC) for review and possible investigation in response to a 45-day Citizen Action Complaint filed with the Attorney General's office on September 8, 2016 by Knoll Lowney on behalf of Opportunity for Olympia (OFO), a registered political committee formed to support a local ballot measure for "income tax for funding college tuition."

The Citizen Action Complaint alleged that the Councilmembers of the City of Olympia violated RCW 42.17A.555 by using or authorizing the use of City facilities/funds to oppose OFO's proposed local ballot measure by seeking judicial review of the measure rather than placing it on the November 8, 2016 general election ballot.

PDC staff reviewed the complaint and prepared a Memorandum dated October 21, 2016 that included an analysis and recommendation to Commission members concerning the alleged violations. The Commission considered the results of staff's review and analysis at their regular meeting held October 27, 2016. At that meeting, Lead Political and Finance Investigator William A. Lemp III presented a chronology of relevant actions, and Executive Director Evelyn Fielding Lopez summarized staff's analysis and recommendation. I am enclosing a copy of staff's October 21, 2016 Memorandum and its Exhibits.

Staff Conclusion and Recommendation

As noted in the enclosed Memorandum, staff concluded that:

The Honorable Robert Ferguson PDC Case 8341 November 3, 2016 Page 2

- OFO's proposed ordinance was a ballot proposition, and it was appropriate for Olympia City Councilmembers to authorize a lawsuit to determine if OFO's proposed local ballot measure was beyond the scope of the City's initiative power, and if it was beyond the scope, to seek an order enjoining the proposed tax initiative from appearing on the November 8, 2016 ballot.
- The City's action to challenge the ballot measure was reasonable and lawful, and was therefore part of the City's normal and regular conduct that is allowed under RCW 42.17A.555.
- The City's actions were different from those of the Port of Tacoma in a recent
 case concerning similar actions, in that the Port of Tacoma is not responsible for
 managing the ordinances of the City of Tacoma, nor is it responsible for
 Tacoma's water system or election activities. The City of Olympia is responsible
 for managing the ordinances of the City and for the City's election activities.
- Olympia City Council members did not violate RCW 42.17A.555 when they
 authorized expenditures for legal services to seek judicial review of Opportunity
 for Olympia's proposed ballot measure to determine if the measure was within
 the scope of the City's initiative power.

Staff recommended that the Commission recommend to the Attorney General that that office take no further action with respect to the allegations in the complaint.

Commission Recommendation

Having received and reviewed staff's October 21, 2016 Memorandum, the Commission unanimously adopted a motion to return this matter to the Attorney General with a recommendation that the Attorney General not file an action concerning the allegations set out in the complaint by Opportunity for Olympia.

If you have guestions, please contact me at 360-664-2735.

Sincerely,

Evelyn Fielding Lope

Executive Director

cc: Commissioners

Linda A. Dalton, Sr. Assistant Attorney General Mark E. Barber, Counsel for Councilmembers of the City of Olympia

Knoll Lowney, Counsel for Opportunity for Olympia