

File a Formal Complaint - Glen Morgan

Glenmorgan89 reported 6 days ago (Tue, 24 Jan at 1:13 AM) via Portal Meta

To Whom it May Concern (and this should concern everyone) --

It has come to my attention that Kevin Ranker has egregiously violated numerous provisions of RCW 42.17A in his 2016 campaign for the State Senate in the 40th District.

1) Failure to report in-kind contribution/expenditure for filing fee with Secretary of State's office.
(Violation of RCW 42.17A.235)

On 5/18/2016 at exactly 4:50 PM, Kevin Ranker filed for the office of State Senator with the Secretary of State's office. The cost of this filing was \$454.74.

Because the filing fee was a campaign-related expense, it must have been reported to the public. This is clearly required by state law.

In fact, Attorney General Slade Gorton rendered an official AG opinion on this exact matter in 1974, when he wrote, in part:

"In simplest terms, this payment (in those cases in which it is required) is a necessary part of the candidate's financial outlay if he is to have his name appear on the ballot and, in that manner, become eligible to be elected to the office he is seeking. Moreover, the payment is very clearly a transfer of something of value and it cannot be doubted that it is for the purpose of assisting the candidate and furthering his election campaign. Payment of the filing fee is thus precisely within the broad definition of "expenditure" as set forth above." -- AGO 1974 No. 16 - July 29, 1974

Ranker failed to report this expenditure/in-kind contribution on his C4 form for the relevant time period, and thus clearly violated state law.

2) Illegal personal use of campaign funds, failure to describe expenses nexus to campaign (Violation of RCW 42.17A.445, RCW 42.17A.235 see WAC 390-16-238 (1))

State law prohibits the use of campaign funds for personal purposes. Per PDC regulations, any expenditure of a candidate's campaign funds that is not directly related to the candidate's election campaign is a personal use of campaign funds prohibited under RCW 42.17A.445.

Kevin Ranker illegally used campaign funds for personal use in the following 20 instances:

Vendor Date Amount City State Description - Violation

RANKER KEVIN 2016-09-16 \$336.70 DEER HARBOR DEER HARBOR MARINA:

Travel expense is unrelated to campaign or inadequately describes nexus to campaign.

NAUGHTON SUZANNE 2016-09-23 \$300 SEATTLE WA KENMORE AIR:

Air Travel expense is unrelated to campaign or inadequately describes nexus to campaign.

RANKER KEVIN 2016-09-16 \$300 DEER HARBOR KENMORE AIR:

Air Travel Expense is unrelated to campaign or inadequately describes nexus to campaign.

RANKER KEVIN 2016-07-08 \$300 DEER HARBOR KENMORE AIR:

Air Travel Expense is unrelated to campaign or inadequately describes nexus to campaign.

RANKER KEVIN 2016-09-16 \$289.84 DEER HARBOR FAIRMONT OLYMPIA HOTEL

Lodging Expense is unrelated to campaign or inadequately describes nexus to campaign.

SPATOLAKNOLL CLARE 2016-11-02 \$275.86 SEATTLE MILEAGE REIMBURSEMENT

Expense is unrelated to campaign or inadequately describes nexus to campaign. Please note that a detailed mileage log is required IF this is a legal campaign expenditure - (WAC 390-16-238(3)(a))

RANKER KEVIN M 2014-11-20 \$268.27 DEER HARBOR MONACO HOTEL

Expense is unrelated to campaign or inadequately describes nexus to campaign.

RANKER KEVIN 2016-12-05 \$232.04 DEER HARBOR FAIRMONT OLYMPIA HOTEL

Lodging Expense is unrelated to campaign or inadequately describes nexus to campaign.

RANKER KEVIN M 2014-08-24 \$209.23 DEER HARBOR FAIRHAVEN VILLAGE INN

Lodging Expense is unrelated to campaign or inadequately describes nexus to campaign.

RANKER KEVIN 2016-09-16 \$182.50 DEER HARBOR WA SAN JUAN AIRLINES

Air Travel Expense is unrelated to campaign or inadequately describes nexus to campaign.

RANKER KEVIN 2016-09-16 \$178 DEER HARBOR WA SAN JUAN AIRLINES

Air Travel Expense is unrelated to campaign or inadequately describes nexus to campaign.

RANKER KEVIN 2016-07-08 \$178 DEER HARBOR WA SAN JUAN AIRLINES

Air Travel Expense is unrelated to campaign or inadequately describes nexus to campaign.

RANKER KEVIN 2016-12-05 \$178 DEER HARBOR WA SAN JUAN AIRLINES

Air Travel Expense is unrelated to campaign or inadequately describes nexus to campaign.

RANKER KEVIN 2016-12-09 \$93.50 DEER HARBOR WA SAN JUAN AIRLINES

Air Travel Expense is unrelated to campaign or inadequately describes nexus to campaign.

RANKER KEVIN 2 016-12-09 \$89 DEER HARBOR WA SAN JUAN AIRLINES

Air Travel Expense is unrelated to campaign or inadequately describes nexus to campaign.

RANKER KEVIN M 2014-11-20 \$88.07 DEER HARBOR WA WSF/TAXI/UBER

Travel Expense is unrelated to campaign or inadequately describes nexus to campaign.

RANKER KEVIN 2016-12-09 \$63.69 DEER HARBOR WA NIJO: MEALS

Expense is unrelated to campaign or inadequately describes nexus to campaign.

RANKER KEVIN 2016-12-09 \$53.70 DEER HARBOR WA NIJO: MEALS

Expense is unrelated to campaign or inadequately describes nexus to campaign.

RANKER KEVIN 2016-09-16 \$51.50 DEER HARBOR WA U-SAVE: CAR RENTAL

Expense is unrelated to campaign or inadequately describes nexus to campaign.

RANKER KEVIN 2016-12-09 \$51.49 DEER HARBOR WA U-SAVE: CAR RENTAL

Expense is unrelated to campaign or inadequately describes nexus to campaign.

It is possible that many of these expenses were actually legitimate campaign expenses. Senator Ranker may have simply illegally failed to accurately describe the expenses and their nexus to his campaign, which is also a violation of RCW 42.17A.235. Regardless of which violation Ranker committed, this pattern of illegality should be investigated.

3) Failure to properly break down expenses. (Violation of RCW 42.17A.235, see WAC 390-16-205)

State law requires that expenditures made on behalf of a candidate or political committee by any person, agency, firm, organization, etc. employed or retained for the purpose of organizing, directing, managing or assisting the candidate or committee's efforts shall be deemed expenditures by the candidate or committee. In accordance with WAC 390-16-037, such expenditures shall be reported by the candidate or committee as if made or incurred by the candidate or committee directly.

The Ranker campaign illegally failed to break down the following 5 expenses:

1) BLUE UTOPIA 2013-10-23 \$527.74 SEATTLE WA 98194 WEBSITE SETUP

Failure to break down expenditure, did not include expenditure to GoDaddy, LLC for renewal of registry

2) OVERNIGHT PRINTING & GRAPHICS 2016-05-19 \$923.44 SEATTLE WA LETTERHEAD/
ENVELOPES/REMIT PRINTING

Failure to break down expenses. Ranker must break down these expenditures, one for letterhead, one for envelopes, one for remits.

3)OVERNIGHT PRINTING & GRAPHICS 2016-06-30 \$953.21 SEATTLE WA
LETTERHEAD/ENVELOPES/REMIT PRINTING

Failure to break down expenses. Ranker must break down these expenditures, one for letterhead, one for envelopes, one for remits.

4)RANKER KEVIN M 2014-11-20 \$88.07 DEER HARBOR WA 98253 WSF/TAXI/UBER - TRAVEL

Failure to break down expenses. Ranker must break down these recipients of these

campaign expenditures by the amount paid to each one.

5)RANKER KEVIN M 201408-24 \$111.90 DEER HARBOR WA 98253 CANTINETTA/SUBWAY/DAPHNES - MEALS

Failure to break down expenses. Ranker must break down these recipients of these campaign expenditures by the amount paid to each one.

4) Failure to accurately describe expenses. (Violation of RCW 42.17A.235, 42.17A.240)

State law requires that campaigns regularly file reports that include the name and address of each person to whom an expenditure during the period covered by the report, the amount, date, and purpose of each expenditure, and the total sum of all expenditures.

It is absolutely critical that campaigns transparently the true purpose of each expenditure made.

Unfortunately, the Ranker campaign illegally failed to accurately describe expenses for the following 32 expenditures:

Vendor	Date	Amount	City	State	Zip	Description	Violation
--------	------	--------	------	-------	-----	-------------	-----------

1)TIF & GIF	2013-09-26	\$453	FRIDAY HARBOR	WA		TIF & GIF	
-------------	------------	-------	---------------	----	--	-----------	--

Failure to adequately describe expenditure.

2)TULIO	2013-10-30	\$127.85	SEATTLE	WA	98101	FOOD	
---------	------------	----------	---------	----	-------	------	--

Failure to adequately describe expenditure.

3)BLUE UTOPIA	2015-02-03	\$130	SEATTLE	WA	98194	DATA SERVICES	
---------------	------------	-------	---------	----	-------	---------------	--

Failure to adequately describe expenditure. What type of data services were provided?

4)BLUE UTOPIA 2015-03-04 \$130 SEATTLE WA 98194 DATA SERVICES

Failure to adequately describe expenditure. What type of data services were provided?

5)BLUE UTOPIA 2015-04-03 \$130 SEATTLE WA 98194 DATA SERVICES

Failure to adequately describe expenditure. What type of data services were provided?

6)BLUE UTOPIA 2015-05-05 \$130 SEATTLE WA 98194 DATA SERVICES

Failure to adequately describe expenditure. What type of data services were provided?

7)BLUE UTOPIA 2015-06-03 \$130 SEATTLE WA 98194 DATA SERVICES

Failure to adequately describe expenditure. What type of data services were provided?

8)BLUE UTOPIA 2015-07-03 \$130 SEATTLE WA 98194 DATA SERVICES

Failure to adequately describe expenditure. What type of data services were provided?

9)BLUE UTOPIA 2015-08-04 \$80 SEATTLE WA 98194 DATA SERVICES

Failure to adequately describe expenditure. What type of data services were provided?

10)BLUE UTOPIA 2015-09-02 \$80 SEATTLE WA 98194 DATA SERVICES

Failure to adequately describe expenditure. What type of data services were provided?

11)BLUE UTOPIA 2015-10-02 \$80 SEATTLE WA 98194 DATA SERVICES

Failure to adequately describe expenditure. What type of data services were provided?

12)BLUE UTOPIA 2016-01-05 \$80 SEATTLE WA 98194 DATA SERVICES

Failure to adequately describe expenditure. What type of data services were provided?

13)BLUE UTOPIA 2016-02-03 \$80 SEATTLE WA 98194 DATA SERVICES

Failure to adequately describe expenditure. What type of data services were provided?

14)BLUE UTOPIA 2013-11-04 \$130 SEATTLE WA 98194 WEBSITE

Failure to adequately describe expenditure. What type of work was performed on the website?

15)BLUE UTOPIA 2013-12-04 \$130 SEATTLE WA 98194 WEBSITE

Failure to adequately describe expenditure. What type of work was performed on the website?

16)BLUE UTOPIA 2014-01-03 \$130 SEATTLE WA 98194 WEBSITE

Failure to adequately describe expenditure. What type of work was performed on the website?

17)BLUE UTOPIA 2014-02-04 \$130 SEATTLE WA 98194 WEBSITE

Failure to adequately describe expenditure. What type of work was performed on the website?

18)BLUE UTOPIA 2014-03-05 \$130 SEATTLE WA 98194 WEBSITE

Failure to adequately describe expenditure. What type of work was performed on the website?

19)BLUE UTOPIA 2014-04-03 \$130 SEATTLE WA 98194 WEBSITE

Failure to adequately describe expenditure. What type of work was performed on the website?

20)BLUE UTOPIA 2014-05-05 \$56.61 SEATTLE WA 98194 WEBSITE

Failure to adequately describe expenditure. What type of work was performed on the website?

21)BLUE UTOPIA 2014-06-05 \$130 SEATTLE WA 98194 WEBSITE

Failure to adequately describe expenditure. What type of work was performed on the website?

22)BLUE UTOPIA 2014-07-03 \$130 SEATTLE WA 98194 WEBSITE

Failure to adequately describe expenditure. What type of work was performed on the website?

23)BLUE UTOPIA 2014-08-04 \$130 SEATTLE WA 98194 WEBSITE

Failure to adequately describe expenditure. What type of work was performed on the website?

24)BLUE UTOPIA 2014-09-03 \$130 SEATTLE WA 98194 WEBSITE

Failure to adequately describe expenditure. What type of work was performed on the website?

25)TIF & GIF 2015-12-11 \$500 FRIDAY HARBOR WA WEBSITE

Failure to adequately describe expenditure. What type of work was performed on the website?

26)BLUE UTOPIA 2014-10-02 \$130 SEATTLE WA 98194 MONTHLY FEE

Failure to adequately describe expenditure. What was the fee for?

27)BLUE UTOPIA 2014-11-04 \$130 SEATTLE WA 98194 MONTHLY FEE

Failure to adequately describe expenditure. What was the fee for?

28)BLUE UTOPIA 2014-12-03 \$130 SEATTLE WA 98194 MONTHLY FEE

Failure to adequately describe expenditure. What was the fee for?

29)BLUE UTOPIA 2015-01-03 \$130 SEATTLE WA 98194 MONTHLY FEE

Failure to adequately describe expenditure. What was the fee for?

30)USPS 2015-11-24 \$147 ORCAS WA 98280 POSTAGE

Failure to adequately describe expenditure. What was the postage used for?

31)SPATOLA-KNOLL CLARE 2016-06-10 \$112.80 SEATTLE WA USPS: POSTAGE

Failure to adequately describe expenditure. What was the postage used for?

32)STRATEGIES 360 INC 2016-05-31 \$1000 SEATTLE WA WEBSITE

Failure to adequately describe expenditure. What work was performed on the website?

Of particular interest to the PDC should be the relationship between Kevin Ranker's campaign and "Blue Utopia". There were regular payments of \$130 and \$80 that were made for allegedly different purposes. The PDC should investigate this relationship to determine if Ranker's campaign received the market-value paid for these services.

5) Failure to accurately, timely report debt. (Violation of RCW 42.17A.240 (8), see WAC 390-05-295)

State law requires that the name and address of any person and the amount owed for any debt, obligation, note, unpaid loan, or other liability in the amount of more than two hundred fifty dollars or in the amount of more than fifty dollars that has been outstanding for over thirty days. Per WAC 390-05-295, this includes any oral or written order placed, debt or obligation to purchase goods or services or anything of value, or any offer to purchase advertising space, broadcast time or other advertising related product or service.

The Ranker campaign illegally failed to report the following 58 debts on preceding C4s:

1. STRATEGIES 360 INC 2016-12-05 \$6000 SEATTLE WA CONSULTING

Ranker had an ongoing contract (oral/implied or written) with Strategies 360 for consulting work on his entire 2016 campaign. His campaign's obligation to pay Strategies 360 was legally required to have been reported as debt on preceding C4 reports.

2) BLUE UTOPIA 2013-10-23 \$527.74 SEATTLE WA 98194 WEBSITE SETUP

Ranker had an ongoing contract (oral/implied or written) with Blue Utopia for work on the 2016 campaign. His campaign's obligation to pay Blue Utopia was legally required to have been reported as debt on preceding C4 reports.

3) BLUE UTOPIA 2014-12-03 \$130 SEATTLE WA 98194 MONTHLY FEE

Ranker had an ongoing contract (oral/implied or written) with Blue Utopia for work on the 2016 campaign. His campaign's obligation to pay Blue Utopia was legally required to have been reported as debt on preceding C4 reports.

4) BLUE UTOPIA 015-01-03 \$130 SEATTLE WA 98194 MONTHLY FEE

Ranker had an ongoing contract (oral/implied or written) with Blue Utopia for work on the 2016 campaign. His campaign's obligation to pay Blue Utopia was legally required to have been reported as debt on preceding C4 reports.

5) BLUE UTOPIA 2015-02-03 \$130 SEATTLE WA 98194 DATA SERVICES

Ranker had an ongoing contract (oral/implied or written) with Blue Utopia for work on the 2016 campaign. His campaign's obligation to pay Blue Utopia was legally required to have been reported as debt on preceding C4 reports.

6) BLUE UTOPIA 2015-03-04 \$130 SEATTLE WA 98194 DATA SERVICES

Ranker had an ongoing contract (oral/implied or written) with Blue Utopia for work on the 2016 campaign. His campaign's obligation to pay Blue Utopia was legally required to have been reported as debt on preceding C4 reports.

7) BLUE UTOPIA 2015-04-03 \$130 SEATTLE WA 98194 DATA SERVICES

Ranker had an ongoing contract (oral/implied or written) with Blue Utopia for work on the 2016 campaign. His campaign's obligation to pay Blue Utopia was legally required to have been reported as debt on preceding C4 reports.

8) BLUE UTOPIA 2015-05-05 \$130 SEATTLE WA 98194 DATA SERVICES

Ranker had an ongoing contract (oral/implied or written) with Blue Utopia for work on the 2016 campaign. His campaign's obligation to pay Blue Utopia was legally required to have been reported as debt on preceding C4 reports.

9) BLUE UTOPIA 2015-06-03 \$130 SEATTLE WA 98194 DATA SERVICES

Ranker had an ongoing contract (oral/implied or written) with Blue Utopia for work on the 2016 campaign. His campaign's obligation to pay Blue Utopia was legally required to have been reported as debt on preceding C4 reports.

10) BLUE UTOPIA 2015-07-03 \$130 SEATTLE WA 98194 DATA SERVICES

Ranker had an ongoing contract (oral/implied or written) with Blue Utopia for work on the 2016 campaign. His campaign's obligation to pay Blue Utopia was legally required to have been reported as debt on preceding C4 reports.

11) BLUE UTOPIA 2014-09-03 \$130 SEATTLE WA 98194 WEBSITE

Ranker had an ongoing contract (oral/implied or written) with Blue Utopia for work on the 2016 campaign. His campaign's obligation to pay Blue Utopia was legally required to have been reported as debt on preceding C4 reports.

12) BLUE UTOPIA 2014-10-02 \$130 SEATTLE WA 98194 MONTHLY FEE

Ranker had an ongoing contract (oral/implied or written) with Blue Utopia for work on the 2016 campaign. His campaign's obligation to pay Blue Utopia was legally required to have been reported as debt on preceding C4 reports.

13) BLUE UTOPIA 014-11-04 \$130 SEATTLE WA 98194 MONTHLY FEE

Ranker had an ongoing contract (oral/implied or written) with Blue Utopia for work on the 2016 campaign. His campaign's obligation to pay Blue Utopia was legally required to have been reported as debt on preceding C4 reports.

14) BLUE UTOPIA 2014-07-03 \$130 SEATTLE WA 98194 WEBSITE

Ranker had an ongoing contract (oral/implied or written) with Blue Utopia for work on the 2016 campaign. His campaign's obligation to pay Blue Utopia was legally required to have been reported as debt on preceding C4 reports.

15) BLUE UTOPIA 2014-08-04 \$130 SEATTLE WA 98194 WEBSITE

Ranker had an ongoing contract (oral/implied or written) with Blue Utopia for work on the 2016 campaign. His campaign's obligation to pay Blue Utopia was legally required to have been reported as debt on preceding C4 reports.

16) BLUE UTOPIA 2014-04-03 \$130 SEATTLE WA 98194 WEBSITE

Ranker had an ongoing contract (oral/implied or written) with Blue Utopia for work on the 2016 campaign. His campaign's obligation to pay Blue Utopia was legally required to have been reported as debt on preceding C4 reports.

17) BLUE UTOPIA 2014-06-05 \$130 SEATTLE WA 98194 WEBSITE

Ranker had an ongoing contract (oral/implied or written) with Blue Utopia for work on the 2016 campaign. His campaign's obligation to pay Blue Utopia was legally required to have been reported as debt on preceding C4 reports.

18) BLUE UTOPIA 2013-11-04 \$130 SEATTLE WA 98194 WEBSITE

Ranker had an ongoing contract (oral/implied or written) with Blue Utopia for work on the 2016 campaign. His campaign's obligation to pay Blue Utopia was legally required to have been reported as debt on preceding C4 reports.

19) BLUE UTOPIA 2014-01-03 \$130 SEATTLE WA 98194 WEBSITE

Ranker had an ongoing contract (oral/implied or written) with Blue Utopia for work on the 2016 campaign. His campaign's obligation to pay Blue Utopia was legally required to have been reported as debt on preceding C4 reports.

20) BLUE UTOPIA 2014-02-04 \$130 SEATTLE WA 98194 WEBSITE

Ranker had an ongoing contract (oral/implied or written) with Blue Utopia for work on the 2016 campaign. His campaign's obligation to pay Blue Utopia was legally required to have been reported as debt on preceding C4 reports.

21) BLUE UTOPIA 2014-03-05 \$130 SEATTLE WA 98194 WEBSITE

Ranker had an ongoing contract (oral/implied or written) with Blue Utopia for work on the 2016 campaign. His campaign's obligation to pay Blue Utopia was legally required to have been reported as debt on preceding C4 reports.

22) BLUE UTOPIA 2013-12-04 \$130 SEATTLE WA 98194 WEBSITE

Ranker had an ongoing contract (oral/implied or written) with Blue Utopia for work on the 2016 campaign. His campaign's obligation to pay Blue Utopia was legally required to have been reported as debt on preceding C4 reports.

23) BLUE UTOPIA 2015-09-02 \$80 SEATTLE WA 98194 DATA SERVICES

Ranker had an ongoing contract (oral/implied or written) with Blue Utopia for work on the 2016 campaign. His campaign's obligation to pay Blue Utopia was legally required to have been reported as debt on preceding C4 reports.

24) BLUE UTOPIA 2015-08-04 \$80 SEATTLE WA 98194 DATA SERVICES

Ranker had an ongoing contract (oral/implied or written) with Blue Utopia for work on the 2016 campaign. His campaign's obligation to pay Blue Utopia was legally required to have been reported as debt on preceding C4 reports.

25) BLUE UTOPIA 2015-10-02 \$80 SEATTLE WA 98194 DATA SERVICES

Ranker had an ongoing contract (oral/implied or written) with Blue Utopia for work on the 2016 campaign. His campaign's obligation to pay Blue Utopia was legally required to have been reported as debt on preceding C4 reports.

26) BLUE UTOPIA 2015-11-04 \$80 SEATTLE WA 98194 CREDIT CARD Processing

Ranker had an ongoing contract (oral/implied or written) with Blue Utopia for work on the 2016 campaign. His campaign's obligation to pay Blue Utopia was legally required to have been reported as debt on preceding C4 reports.

27) BLUE UTOPIA 2015-12-02 \$80 SEATTLE WA 98194 PROCESSOR FEE

Ranker had an ongoing contract (oral/implied or written) with Blue Utopia for work on the 2016 campaign. His campaign's obligation to pay Blue Utopia was legally required to have been reported as debt on preceding C4 reports.

28) BLUE UTOPIA 2016-02-03 \$80 SEATTLE WA 98194 DATA SERVICES

Ranker had an ongoing contract (oral/implied or written) with Blue Utopia for work on the 2016 campaign. His campaign's obligation to pay Blue Utopia was legally required to have been reported as debt on preceding C4 reports.

29) BLUE UTOPIA 2016-01-05 \$80 SEATTLE WA 98194 DATA SERVICES

Ranker had an ongoing contract (oral/implied or written) with Blue Utopia for work on the 2016 campaign. His campaign's obligation to pay Blue Utopia was legally required to have been reported as debt on preceding C4 reports.

30) BLUE UTOPIA 2016-05-03 \$80 SEATTLE WA PROCESSOR FEE

Ranker had an ongoing contract (oral/implied or written) with Blue Utopia for work on the 2016 campaign. His campaign's obligation to pay Blue Utopia was legally required to have been reported as debt on preceding C4 reports.

31) BLUE UTOPIA 2016-04-05 \$80 SEATTLE WA 98194 PROCESSOR FEE

Ranker had an ongoing contract (oral/implied or written) with Blue Utopia for work on the 2016 campaign. His campaign's obligation to pay Blue Utopia was legally required to have been reported as debt on preceding C4 reports.

32) BLUE UTOPIA 2016-03-03 \$80 SEATTLE WA 98194 PROCESSOR FEE

Ranker had an ongoing contract (oral/implied or written) with Blue Utopia for work on the 2016 campaign. His campaign's obligation to pay Blue Utopia was legally required to have been reported as debt on preceding C4 reports.

33) BLUE WAVE POLITICAL PARTNERS LLC 2016-07-15 \$500 SEATTLE COMPLIANCE CONSULTING

Ranker had an ongoing contract (oral/implied or written) with Blue Wave Political Partners LLC for work on the 2016 campaign. His campaign's obligation to pay Blue Wave Political Partners LLC was legally required to have been reported as debt on preceding C4 reports. Based on all the violations found in a casual review of his paperwork, hopefully the "compliance" was not referring to public disclosure compliance.

34) BLUE WAVE POLITICAL PARTNERS LLC 2016-09-13 \$250 SEATTLE COMPLIANCE CONSULTING

Ranker had an ongoing contract (oral/implied or written) with Blue Wave Political Partners LLC for work on the 2016 campaign. His campaign's obligation to pay Blue Wave Political Partners LLC was legally required to have been reported as debt on preceding C4 reports.

35) BLUE WAVE POLITICAL PARTNERS LLC 2016-10-03 \$250 SEATTLE COMPLIANCE CONSULTING

Ranker had an ongoing contract (oral/implied or written) with Blue Wave Political Partners LLC for work on the 2016 campaign. His campaign's obligation to pay Blue Wave Political Partners LLC was legally required to have been reported as debt on preceding C4 reports.

36) BLUE WAVE POLITICAL PARTNERS LLC 2016-11-17 \$250 SEATTLE COMPLIANCE CONSULTING

Ranker had an ongoing contract (oral/implied or written) with Blue Wave Political Partners LLC for work on the 2016 campaign. His campaign's obligation to pay Blue Wave Political Partners LLC was legally required to have been reported as debt on preceding C4 reports.

37) BLUE WAVE POLITICAL PARTNERS LLC 2016-08-11 \$250 SEATTLE COMPLIANCE CONSULTING

Ranker had an ongoing contract (oral/implied or written) with Blue Wave Political Partners LLC for work on the 2016 campaign. His campaign's obligation to pay Blue Wave Political Partners LLC was legally required to have been reported as debt on preceding C4 reports.

38) BLUE WAVE POLITICAL PARTNERS LLC 2016-05-19 \$250 SEATTLE ACCOUNTING/COMPLIANCE

Ranker had an ongoing contract (oral/implied or written) with Blue Wave Political Partners LLC for work on the 2016 campaign. His campaign's obligation to pay Blue Wave Political Partners LLC was legally required to have been reported as debt on preceding C4 reports.

39) BLUE WAVE POLITICAL PARTNERS LLC 2016-05-19 \$250 SEATTLE ACCOUNTING/COMPLIANCE

Ranker had an ongoing contract (oral/implied or written) with Blue Wave Political Partners LLC for work on the 2016 campaign. His campaign's obligation to pay Blue Wave Political Partners LLC was legally required to have been reported as debt on preceding C4 reports.

40) BLUE WAVE POLITICAL PARTNERS LLC 2016-12-01 \$250 SEATTLE COMPLIANCE CONSULTING

Ranker had an ongoing contract (oral/implied or written) with Blue Wave Political Partners LLC for work on the 2016 campaign. His campaign's obligation to pay Blue Wave Political Partners LLC was legally required to have been reported as debt on preceding C4 reports.

41) BLUE WAVE POLITICAL PARTNERS LLC 2016-12-30 \$250 SEATTLE COMPLIANCE CONSULTING

Ranker had an ongoing contract (oral/implied or written) with Blue Wave Political Partners LLC for work on the 2016 campaign. His campaign's obligation to pay Blue Wave Political Partners LLC was legally required to have been reported as debt on preceding C4 reports.

42) NGP VAN 2016-04-29 \$450 WASHINGTON DC 20005 SOFTWARE RENTAL

Ranker had an ongoing contract (oral/implied or written) with NGP VAN software. His campaign's obligation to pay Blue Utopia was legally required to have been reported as debt on preceding C4 reports.

43) NGP VAN INC 2016-07-08 \$450 WASHINGTON DC SOFTWARE RENTAL

Ranker had an ongoing contract (oral/implied or written) with NGP VAN software. His campaign's obligation to pay Blue Utopia was legally required to have been reported as debt on preceding C4 reports.

44) PRINTSHOP NORTHWEST 2016-11-17 \$725.89 EASTSOUND WA T-SHIRTS

This order for T-Shirts was likely placed before the election, and should have been reported on a preceding C4 as debt.

45) RANKER KEVIN 2016-12-05 \$232.04 DEER HARBOR WA FAIRMONT OLYMPIA HOTEL: LODGING

This lodging expense was likely placed before the election, and should have been reported on a preceding C4 as debt.

46) RANKER KEVIN 2016-12-09 \$93.50 DEER HARBOR SAN JUAN AIRLINES: AIR TRAVEL

This air travel expense was likely placed before the election, and should have been reported as debt on a preceding C4 as debt.

47) RANKER KEVIN 2016-12-09 \$89 DEER HARBOR SAN JUAN AIRLINES: AIR TRAVEL

This air travel expense was likely placed before the election, and should have been reported as debt on a preceding C4 as debt.

48) SPATOLA-KNOLL CLARE 2016-08-12 \$629.83 SEATTLE WA MILEAGE REIMBURSEMENT

It is unlikely that Clare drove this many miles the first 12 days of August, and should have been reported as debt on a preceding C4 as debt. (In addition to the likely violation of WAC 390-16-238(3)(a))

49) SPATOLA-KNOLL CLARE 2016-07-08 \$523.21 SEATTLE WA MILEAGE REIMBURSEMENT

It is unlikely that Clare drove this many miles the first 8 days of July, and should have been reported as debt on a preceding C4 as debt. (and another likely violation of WAC 390-16-238(3)(a))

50) SPATOLA-KNOLL CLARE 2016-12-12 \$500 SEATTLE WA CONSULTING

This expense was for consulting on the 2016 campaign, and should have been reported as campaign debt during the campaign.

51) SPATOLA-KNOLL CLARE 2016-10-03 \$411.99 SEATTLE WA MILEAGE REIMBURSEMENT

It is unlikely that Clare drove this many miles the first 3 days of October, and should have been reported as debt on a preceding C4 as debt. (probable violation of WAC 390-16-238(3)(a))

52) SPATOLA-KNOLL CLARE 2016-11-02 \$275.86 SEATTLE MILEAGE REIMBURSEMENT

It is unlikely that Clare drove this many miles the first 2 days of November, and should have been reported as debt on a preceding C4 as debt. (probable violation of WAC 390-16-238(3)(a))

53) STRATEGIES 360 INC 2016-09-23 \$2500 SEATTLE WA WEBSITE DESIGN

This website design work for KevinRanker.com was performed well before September 23rd, and should have been reported as debt on a preceding C4 as debt.

54) TAYLOR ABBOT 2014-06-25 \$105.05 SEATTLE WA 98112 TREASURY SERVICES

Ranker had an ongoing contract (oral/implied or written) with Taylor Abbot for Treasury work on the 2016 campaign. His campaign's obligation to pay her was legally required to have been reported as debt on preceding C4 reports.

55) TAYLOR ABBOT 2014-10-08 \$75 SEATTLE WA 98112 TREASURY SERVICES

Ranker had an ongoing contract (oral/implied or written) with Taylor Abbot for Treasury work on the 2016 campaign. His campaign's obligation to pay her was legally required to have been reported as debt on preceding C4 reports.

56) TAYLOR ABBOT 2015-01-09 \$75 SEATTLE WA 98112 TREASURY CONSULTING

Ranker had an ongoing contract (oral/implied or written) with Taylor Abbot for Treasury work on the 2016 campaign. His campaign's obligation to pay her was legally required to have been reported as debt on preceding C4 reports.

57) WASHINGTON SENATE DEMOCRATIC CAMPAIGN 2016-11-30 \$2718.39 SEATTLE STAFF Services

It is unlikely that the SDCC performed this quantity of staff services during the first 8 days of November or subsequently for the rest of the month, and should have been reported as debt on a preceding C4 as debt.

58) WASHINGTON SENATE DEMOCRATIC CAMPAIGN 2016-11-04 \$1634.69 SEATTLE STAFF Services

It is unlikely that the SDCC performed this quantity of staff services during the first 4 days of November, and should have been reported as debt on a preceding C4 as debt.

6) Failure to list Strategies 360 INC as a committee officer. (Violation of RCW 42.17A.205 (2)(c), see WAC 390-05-245) Kevin Ranker failed to list Strategies 360 Inc as an officer, which is required by RCW 42.17A.205(2)(c).

Strategies 360 Inc should have been listed as a committee officer because it, in conjunction with others, made, directed, or authorized expenditures, strategic or policy decisions on behalf of the committee.

WAC 390-05-245 defines committee officer as: "...any person designated by the committee as an officer on the C-1 or C-1pc registration statement and any person who alone or in conjunction with other persons makes, directs, or authorizes contribution, expenditure, strategic or policy decisions on behalf of the committee" .

Please note that RCW 42.17A.005 (35) defines "person" as: "...an individual, partnership, joint venture, public or private corporation, association, federal, state, or local governmental entity or agency however constituted, candidate, committee, political committee, political party, executive committee thereof, or any other organization or group of persons, however organized."

7) Illegal unauthorized expenditure of funds by an individual not listed as an officer on C-1 form. (Violation of RCW 42.17A.425)

State law requires that no expenditures may be made or incurred by any candidate or political committee unless authorized by the candidate or the person or persons named on the candidate's or committee's registration form.

Despite making many expenditures for the Ranker campaign, Strategies 360 Inc did not appear as an officer on Ranker's C1 form.

I believe that Strategies 360 Inc illegally made expenditures for the Ranker campaign, in violation of state law.

8) Failure to report last minute contributions. (Violation of RCW 42.17A.265)

The committee failed to report last minute contributions (@ aggregate of \$1000 or higher) from the following groups within the 48 hour time limit on an LMC or C3 form, as required by law:

a) General Election (21 Days -- 10/18/2016 to 11/8/2016)

NW Sportfishing Industry Association

WA PAC

Puyallup Tribe of Indians

WA Anglers for Conservation PAC

Washington Hospitality

b) Primary Election (7 Days – 7/26/2016 to 8/2/2016)

Alaska Airlines

Delta Dental Association of Washington

Microsoft

Muckleshoot Indian Tribe

Pfizer Inc.

Puyallup Tribe of Indians

WASHBANK PAC

Washington Beverage Association

9) Failure to report expenditure/in-kind contribution for professional photography. (Violation of RCW 42.17A.235)

Ranker failed to report expenditures/in-kind contribution for professional photography. You can find examples of this professional photography on his website (www.KEVINRANKER.COM).

The source (and value) of these pieces of professional photography must be reported.

10) Failure to accurately file contribution report (C3) by deadline. (Violation of RCW 42.17A.235)

According to the PDC, the accurate report of contributions (C3) for the month of September 2015 was due on 10/12/2015.

Unfortunately, Ranker's campaign failed to file an accurate report containing all contributions before the deadline. Namely, Ranker's original C3 for the month of September 2015 (Ref. #100661203) failed to report a contribution of \$950.00 from Lummi Commercial Co. received on 09/4/2015.

Ranker's campaign failed to file an accurate report until 11/10/2015, when they submitted an amended C3 that included this. This was is well past the statutory deadline.

11) Illegal donation to Senate Democratic Campaign Committee (Violation of RCW 42.17A.430 (8))

Ranker made the following illegal donations to a political committee:

Vendor	Date	Amount	Address	Description
--------	------	--------	---------	-------------

WSDC	201611-30	\$2718.39	SEATTLE WA	STAFF SERVICES
------	-----------	-----------	------------	----------------

WSDC	201607-11	\$1643.70	SEATTLE WA	STAFF SERVICES
------	-----------	-----------	------------	----------------

WSDC	201608-24	\$1635.89	SEATTLE WA	STAFF SERVICES
------	-----------	-----------	------------	----------------

WSDC	201609-28	\$1634.69	SEATTLE WA	STAFF SERVICES
------	-----------	-----------	------------	----------------

WSDC	201610-10	\$1634.69	SEATTLE WA	STAFF SERVICES
------	-----------	-----------	------------	----------------

WSDC	201611-04	\$1634.69	SEATTLE WA	STAFF SERVICES
------	-----------	-----------	------------	----------------

WSDC	201606-10	\$1441.29	SEATTLE WA	STAFF SERVICES
------	-----------	-----------	------------	----------------

WSDC	201605-19	\$ 328.74	SEATTLE WA	STAFF SERVICES
------	-----------	-----------	------------	----------------

Given the lax and sloppy nature of Ranker's 2016 campaign, I do not believe that he actually used \$12,672.08 of staff services from the Washington Senate Democratic Campaign Committee for this campaign. This is an illegal expenditure of his candidate committee funds to illegally transfer funds to a political committee.

12) Unauthorized personal expenditures from surplus funds account. (Violation of RCW 42.17A.430, 42.17A.445)

According to his own campaign's description, Ranker made the following 10 unauthorized (illegal) personal expenditures from his surplus funds account:

Vendor Date/Amount Violation

1)STAPLES 201509-23 \$90.29 UNAUTHORIZED PERSONAL EXPENSE

2)COSTCO 201509-21 \$205.89 UNAUTHORIZED PERSONAL EXPENSE

3)TRADER JOE'S 201509-17 \$54.44 UNAUTHORIZED PERSONAL EXPENSE

4)PARTY @ DISPLAY & COSTUME 201509-14 \$86.02 UNAUTHORIZED PERSONAL EXPENSE

Was this for a pirate costume?

5)COLOUR BOX & DESIGN 201509-11 \$110 UNAUTHORIZED PERSONAL EXPENSE

6)ALEXANDER PARTY RENTALS 201509-10 \$457.79 UNAUTHORIZED PERSONAL EXPENSE

7)OLIVE GARDEN 201509-01 \$86.07 UNAUTHORIZED PERSONAL EXPENSE

8)L'ARTISAN FRENCH BAKERY 201508-19 \$100 UNAUTHORIZED PERSONAL EXPENSE

9)JOHN'S HANDY MART 201508-19 \$57.97 UNAUTHORIZED PERSONAL EXPENSE

10)SHELL OIL 2 01507-06 \$57.43 UNAUTHORIZED PERSONAL EXPENSE

By his own admittance, these are unauthorized personal expenditures (not meeting the requirements of RCW 42.17A.430) that Ranker must personally reimburse his surplus funds account.

I urge the PDC to investigate these claims immediately.

Best,

Glen Morgan

1 Attachment

×

pdf

RankerCompla...

(133 KB)

L

2

2