

## File a Formal Complaint - Kelly Hinton

[Politicalproject](#) reported 2 days ago (Mon, 13 Feb at 11:18 AM) via Portal Meta

During the course of his 2012 campaign for reelection, Marc Boldt filed false reports concerning the disposition of \$5000 of his campaign funds.

On his form for expenditures, he falsely claimed that

1. His campaign had received a loan of \$5000.
2. That he was the source of that loan.
3. And that he repaid himself the \$5000 that he falsely claimed he had lent his campaign.

In short, he violated whichever laws cover the pledge that all those reporting must sign:

"CERTIFICATION: I certify that the information herein and on accompanying schedules and attachments is true and correct to the best of my knowledge," and he signed that on September 10, 2012.

As I understand it, your organization stopped investigating once he produced records that you claim proved first that he had NOT either made such a loan or that he repaid himself the amount he claimed on PDC form.

"Staff reviewed the bank statements provided by Mr. Boldt "which confirmed that the Campaign did not make a \$5,000 bank deposit on July 19, 2012, as listed on the Schedule L to C-4 report. In addition, the bank statements confirmed that the Campaign did not make a \$5,000 loan repayment to Mr. Boldt on August 29, 2012."

That leaves three remaining issues: there have been no amended C4's that address this issue (Although now known to be false, the claim that he repaid himself \$5000 STILL shows on his PDC forms) and that there has been no accounting for where the \$5000 in expenditures actually DID go AND that he knowingly and falsely reported this expenditure in violation of the laws governing the certification of these forms that effectively prohibits false reporting.

This was not a "mistake." in 2012, Boldt was in his 18th year of elective politics and had been in at least 7 campaigns with PDC reporting requirements. Clearly, he knew exactly what he was doing.

Therefore:

1. Boldt falsely reported a PDC expenditure. That he lied was not addressed by the PDC.
2. Boldt has not amended the offending form and the \$5000 expenditure still shows as if it were accurate.
3. There is no accounting for where the \$5000 in question actually DID go.

If the point of the PDC is truth in campaign finances, then this is yet another in the series of failures of the PDC to adequately and thoroughly investigate anyone not named Eyman.

I am also sending a copy of this to the attorney general's office. Maybe they'll do something with it since you clearly won't.

Thank you for your time... I guess.

[Reply](#) / [Forward](#) / [Add note](#)